

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,766	04/09/2004	Bruce Baker	BBA-10002/29	1449
25006	7590 10/06/2005		EXAMINER	
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C			WILLIAMS, MARK A	
PO BOX 7021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11.01, 11.1	.0007 7021		3676	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathcal{U}						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/821,766	BAKER, BRUCE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark A. Williams	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply wany reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF THIS COMN f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (rill, by statute, cause the application to bed	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on 14 July 2005.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,					
3) Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for forma	I matters, prosecution as to the i	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-31</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requireme	nt.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	Fxaminer					
,— .		ed to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•	= : :	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	or foreign priority under 25 H (C & 110(a) (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	or foreign priority under 55 O.	5.C. 9 119(a)-(u) 01 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 146.						
application from the Internation			90			
* See the attached detailed Office action	, , , ,					
	•					
•	7 · 4.					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date	450)			
3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/14/05.		ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO- er:	152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	e 20051002			

Application/Control Number: 10/821,766 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 20-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 20, "a blade having a lower surface defining a plane" is not fully

understood in the context of the invention. The term "plane" implies a flat lower

surface, however no flat lower surfaces are shown in the drawings. It is not clear

by exactly what structure is the plane defined.

Claim 25 is not understood and is considered improper, since in independent

claim 20, a "circular arc" has been set forth. Limitations of a base claim cannot be

replaced by limitations of dependent claims, only modified.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the

Art Unit: 3676

"flattened ellipsis" of claim 25 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,766 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 20, 21, 26, 27-29, and 31, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Warner, US Patent Design Patent 22,315, or Nadle, US Design Patent 256,542. Noting particularly figure 3 of Nadle and figure 1 of Warner, a hand tool is provided, comprising a blade having a lower surface defining a plane, a handle attached to the blade, the handle being curved in a plane perpendicular to the plane of the blade; the handle including an outer surface defining a portion of a circular arc; and wherein the handle terminates in a gripping section that intersects and extends beyond the plane of the blade. A center of the circle of the arc is below the plane of the blade, as claimed. The devices can be used as weeders or hoes. Regarding claim 31, no claimed structure precludes these handles from being used in the manner claimed; these handles may be held in any number of different ways, not just in the manner in which they are intended to be used.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,766 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warner of Nadle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device in the claimed manner since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Such modifications are not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

7. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warner or Nadle. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the device of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re*

Art Unit: 3676

Dailey et al., 149 USPQ 47. Such modifications are not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

8. Claims 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warner of Nadle. Although a polymeric covering is not shown, the examiner serves Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art of handles to use such covers for the purpose of improved gripping and comfort. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the devices in this way, for the purpose of providing improved gripping and comfort.

Conclusion '

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams 10/2/05

10/2/03

Suzanné Dino Barrett Primary Examiner